

To

The Office of the Judicial Conduct Commissioner

3/5/2018

Ref Kenderdine fraud

Dear Mr Ritchie,

We seem to be at cross purposes. I did not ask you to pursue Kenderdine, although significantly I note you have not rebutted the evidence of her fraud now extended to 2 years one month 5 days.

You are a public servant and I asked you specific questions relating to your office. The public has a right to know the answers to these questions.

1. What has your office done to enhance public confidence in the judicial system and protect the impartiality of the judicial system?

You have deigned not to reply. These are your mandated responsibilities.

2. I asked you what has been done about identifying issues of conflict by any holder of your office

No response from you.

3. I asked you how your office is dealing with past corrupt judicial conduct

Cricketts.

Your predecessor confessed the fraud. His letter to John Adams was copied to you. We as submitters to the Turitea Board of Inquiry were perplexed by the repeated signing off by Kenderdine where one minute she was retired and the next she wasn't. This carelessness led to her exposure.

In your reply to me you then deflect and put the burden on me and the city of Palmerston North, all victims of the biggest fraud the country has ever seen and you hide behind "Private and Confidential."

Of course to deal with the Zimbabwefication of the New Zealand judicial system could cost you your job and probably a knighthood.

I did not ask you to expose yourself in this way, although your position dealing only with *current* judicial appointments leaves you free to ignore a warrantless "judge" continuing in

“office” and faking judicial authority. Gascoigne took advantage of this loophole and nothing was done about it. How many times has this occurred? Hence question number 3.

Detective Superintendent Drew, the Commissioner of Police and other parties contacted had the same motivation, their jobs, superannuation and potential honours.

It is clear you never read anything that was sent to you, but then you didn't need to. You already knew all about it.

By using the demeaning phrase “*if you genuinely believe*” you are laughably implying your predecessor is a liar.

When the Government, Judges and the stable of handlers taking care of them operate in secrecy and all refuse to obey the law why should anyone else?

The key players have been caught red-handed and the fraud fully documented.

Yours sincerely

Paul Stichbury